

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/668,297 09/24/2003 Robert T. Cole 53394.000720 2260 21967 7590 01/11/2006 **EXAMINER HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP** STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1900 K STREET, N.W. **SUITE 1200** 3761 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/668,297	COLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty of I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,7,17,23,24,26,3</u> . 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8-16,18-22,25,27-31,34,35 a</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	<u>2,33 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn and 37-45 is/are rejected.	from consideration.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap onty documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	nmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-45 are pending in the present application. Claims 3, 4, 7, 17, 23, 24, 26, 32, 33, and 36, are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3761

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-16, 18-22, 25, 27-31, 34, 35, and 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronn et al. USPN 6648864.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 22, 25, 29-31, 34, and 39-45 discloses a visual identification device for absorbent articles comprising: two or more size designations and two or more product desginations (Figure 4a). Ronn does not specifically disclose two or more absorbency designations. However, because the display designates different sizes and different stages of development, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to inform the consumer about different absorbencies, since the general concept is to provide a fit appropriate for the child's state of development and it is considered obvious that different sizes and particularly different stages of development, such as a training pant, has different levels of absorbency. For example, Glaug USPN 5649914 teaches a toilet training aid with a low absorbent capacity.

As to claims 6 and 35, see Figures 4a-5a.

As to claims 8, 9, 27, 28, 37, and 38, see Figure 4a where the products have graphical codes denoted by indicia as broadly as claimed.

As to claims 10 –16 and 18-21, see col. 2, lines 15-46.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Jacqueline F Stephens

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Æxaminer Art Unit 3761

January 5, 2006